

**1. World Heritage Property Data**

**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**

Etruscan Necropolises of Cerveteri and Tarquinia

**1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**

**State(s) Party(ies)**

- Italy

**Type of Property**

cultural

**Identification Number**

1158


**Year of inscription on the World Heritage List**

2004

**1.3 - Geographic Information Table**

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Cerveteri, Etruscan Necropolis of Banditaccia , Province of Rome , Latium , Italy	42.007 / 12.102	197.57	1824.04	2021.61	2004
Tarquinia, Etruscan Necropolis of Monterozzi , Province of Viterbo , Latium , Italy	42.25 / 11.77	129.36	3108.07	3237.43	2004
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>326.93</b>	<b>4932.11</b>	<b>5259.04</b>	

**1.4 - Map(s)**

Title	Date	Link to source
Etruscan Necropolises of Cerveteri and Tarquinia_Map of the inscribed property	22/12/2003	

**1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property**

- Adele Cesi  
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali  
Funzionario  
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

**Comment**

Ministero dei Beni e delle Attività Culturali e del Turismo Adele Lagi Funzionario Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale, Servizio 1 Via del Collegio Romano, 27 00186 Roma Italy Telephone: +39 06 67232683 e-mail: adele.lagi@beniculturali.it

**1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency**

- Alfonsina Russo  
Superintendence for archaeological heritage of Etruria Meridionale - MIBAC  
Soprintendente

**1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**

**Comment**

<http://www.cerveteri.beniculturali.it/>

**1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**

**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

**Comment**

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

(i)(iii)(iv)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**3. Factors Affecting the Property**

**3.14. Other factor(s)**

**3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact					Origin	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>							
3.5.3	Land conversion							
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals							
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection							
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>							
3.7.2	Relative humidity							
3.7.3	Temperature							
3.7.4	Radiation/light							
3.7.5	Dust							
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)							
3.7.7	Pests							
3.7.8	Micro-organisms							
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>							
3.9.1	Illegal activities							
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage							
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>							
3.10.1	Storms							
3.10.2	Flooding							
3.10.3	Drought							
3.10.6	Temperature change							
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>							
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide							
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition							
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)							
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>							
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species							
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species							
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3	Management activities							
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

## 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

## 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	widespread	on-going	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	widespread	on-going	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.4	Radiation/light	widespread	on-going	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.5	Dust	widespread	on-going	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	widespread	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.7	Pests	widespread	on-going	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	widespread	on-going	significant	high capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	restricted	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.10.2	Flooding	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.10.6	Temperature change	widespread	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The archaeological heritage of the UNESCO site and of the buffer zone is protected by means of different laws.

- Implementation of Law 490/99 – Titolo I is assigned to the Ministero per i Beni e le attività Culturali. It certainly constitutes the main body of laws that have protected and protect the area's archaeological heritage's integrity. This heritage is granted further protection thanks to the fact that the archaeological areas are State property. Therefore any kind of action - excepting only archaeological investigation and restoration under the Soprintendenza's supervision – is banned.

- This body of laws is reinforced by the law that deals with the protection of landscape, because the archaeological resources in that area are also considered a vital element of the landscape. The body of laws dealing with landscape

protection in particular employs a measure called "vincolo", by means of which a certain defined area is subjected to special limitations, by which means changes are subject to controls. The Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali and the Regione Lazio are entrusted with the task of controlling and approving all plans for new works in areas that are subjected to this regime.

- This instrument dates back to 1939, the year of the approval of law n. 1497, dealing with the protection of natural heritage. This law also encompassed rules for the setting up of territorial landscape planning, called "Piani Territoriali Paesistici" (PTP) (i.e. landscape planning). In Italy these have represented the most widely employed instrument for ensuring the safeguard of environmental heritage and landscape of a given territory. The contents of such plans were later integrated by means of various laws: among the most important we can list law n. 431 of year 1985, which says all Italian regions (Regioni) must draw up a PTP, and the recent Legislative Decree n.490 of 1999, also known as Testo Unico sui beni culturali, which re-orders all of sixty years' legislation on cultural heritage and landscape, in an effort to update and modernize legislation on cultural and environmental resources.

- The building housing the two archaeological Museums of Cerveteri and Tarquinia, inserted in the nomination, are also State property. As they are monumental buildings they are subject to special laws on monuments (Legislative Decree 440/1999 article 2) and to the Piano Regolatore Generale (i.e. urban planning) of the two Municipalities. The presence of all these protective norms ensures that no alteration can take place on the Museums.

- The buffer zone of Cerveteri is State-property and is subject to a special law according to archaeological safeguard law 1089/30; it also lies within the area subject to environmental and archaeological conservation laws according to article one paragraph m of law 431/1985; it also is subject to the Piano Regolatore (urban planning) of the Comune of Cerveteri, approved with resolution 3505 of the Regional Board of year 1980, where is designated as a "W area" (i.e. to be absolutely respected). The presence of all these protective norms ensures that no alteration can take place in the area identified as buffer zone.

- The buffer zone of Tarquinia is only partially placed under special restrictive laws on archaeological areas, but the tombs there are all State property. The whole area is anyway subject in its entirety to environmental and archaeological conservation laws (Legislative Decree 440/1999 article 146 paragraph m – and previously law 431/1985) and to the safeguard norms of the Piano Regolatore (urban planning) of the Comune of Tarquinia: this allows the Soprintendenza to decide whether to authorise and/or modify any project to be carried out there. The buffer zone at Cerveteri and at Tarquinia is also protected by the Piano Territoriale Paesistico (landscape planning) n.2 della Regione Lazio. In particular, in the case of Cerveteri, the Banditaccia necropolis lies in a totally protected area. The Tarquinia Commune has instead recently drawn up a detailed plan (Piano Particolareggiato), that considers the whole area surrounding Monterozzi necropolis (the instrument awaits approval by Regione Lazio).

#### Comment

Please replace the mention of the law 490/99 with the D. Lgs 42/2004 and replace the third paragraph with this text: "The landscape protection is regulated by the Piano Territoriale Paesistico Regionale - PTPR (Legge Regionale n. 24 del 6-7-1998). The national law on landscape protection is the D.Lgs. 42 del 22-01-2004, artt. 135-159, with its subsequent amendments, including the L. 106/2011"

**4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

The site of the necropolis of Cerveteri and the Museum Cerite belongs to the Italian State. The sector of the necropolis of Tarquinia open to the public (Calvario, Fondi Maggi, Scataglioni) and the Archaeological Museum are State-property. The Ministero per i Beni Culturali manages the site, corresponding to the area of the two Etruscan necropolises and to the Museums, by means of its local office, the Soprintendenza per l'Etruria Meridionale. This means that all activities of knowledge gathering, protection, conservation, enhancement and promotion of the site are directly carried out or adequately coordinated by a single body.

The buffer zone for the necropolis of Cerveteri is mainly State property; the land was purchased by the State according to various Ministerial Decrees starting from the 1960s. A very small part belongs to the Comune of Cerveteri; only a minimal part is owned by private landowners. The buffer zone for the necropolis of Tarquinia is partly State-, municipal- (Comune of Tarquinia) and private property. However, the frescoed tombs are always State property. For all aspects concerning monumental, archaeological and environmental resources, all the buffer zone, both in Cerveteri and Tarquinia, is protected by archaeological safeguard law and by environment and landscape protection law and is managed by the Ministero per i Beni Culturali. The buffer zone is also subject to further protective norms by Comune of Cerveteri

and Comune of Tarquinia (PRG), and by Regione Lazio (PTP) and managed by the Comune Administration Body of Cerveteri and Tarquinia. The Provincial Authorities of Rome and Viterbo, the Regione Lazio and the Agrarian Science University of Tarquinia participate in the management. A management plan was drafted in 2003 and its full implementation dates 2004.

**Comment**

Please, modify the sentence: "The buffer zone for the necropolis of Tarquinia is partly State-, municipal- (Comune of Tarquinia) and private property" in "The buffer zone for the necropolis of Tarquinia is partly municipal (owned by the Agrarian Science University of Tarquinia ) and private property". And the last one: "A management plan was drafted in 2003 and its full implementation dates 2004" in "A management plan was drafted in 2003-2004 and the Soprintendenza is now working on updating"

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

A Management Plan has been drafted and sent to the World Heritage

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Non-existent
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Not applicable
Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	65%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	30%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	5%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

**Potential** economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	0%
Part-time	100%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Non-existent
Interpretation	Non-existent
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Non-existent
Administration	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low

Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
------------------------------

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

Carta dei Servizi delle Necropoli Etrusche di Cerveteri e Tarquinia

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **underway**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
3.7.4	<b>Radiation/light</b>	Preservation of the paint film of the painted tombs of Tarquinia and engineering and structural integrity of the tombs of Cerveteri	Use of lamps in low radiation	constant	continuous	Property management No comment
3.7.5	<b>Dust</b>	Preservation of the paint film of the painted tombs of Tarquinia	Installation of transparent barriers	constant	continuous	Property management No comment
3.7.6	<b>Water (rain/water table)</b>	Preservation of the paint film of the painted tombs of Tarquinia and engineering and structural integrity of the tombs of Cerveteri	Installation of protective structures and canalization of the waters	constant	continuous	Property management No comment
3.7.7	<b>Pests</b>	Preservation of the paint film of the painted tombs of Tarquinia and engineering and structural integrity of the tombs of Cerveteri	pest control (biocide treatment)	constant	continuous	Property management No comment
3.7.8	<b>Micro-organisms</b>	Preservation of the paint film of the painted tombs of Tarquinia and engineering and structural integrity of the tombs of Cerveteri	biocide treatment	constant	continuous	Property management No comment
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
3.10.6	<b>Temperature change</b>	Preservation of the paint film of the painted tombs of Tarquinia and engineering and structural integrity of the tombs of Cerveteri	Thermic insulation of the tombs with transparent barriers	constant	continuous	Property management No comment

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.3 Management System / Management Plan</b>						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.3.10	<b>There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management</b>	There are no actions planned	not evaluable	All the parts involved	No comment	
<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>						
4.4.3	<b>The budget is inadequate for management needs</b>	Need for a proper budget	Immediately	Italian Ministry of Cultural Heritage	No comment	
4.4.7	<b>Ad hoc maintenance of equipment</b>	Need for a proper budget	Immediately	Italian Ministry of Cultural Heritage	No comment	
4.4.12	<b>Human resources inadequate for management needs</b>	Need of an adequate number of staff	On-going	Italian Ministry of Cultural Heritage	No comment	
<b>4.7 Visitor Management</b>						

4.7.6	<b>Fees collected makes no contribution to the management of the property</b>	Fees collected should be managed by the management of the property	Immediately	Italian MInistry of Cultural Heritage	No comment
-------	---	--	-------------	---------------------------------------	------------

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

no

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Poor
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

**• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**