

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Late Baroque Towns of the Val di Noto (South-Eastern Sicily)

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

• Italy

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

1024rev


#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2002

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Caltagirone, Caltagirone , Catania , Sicily , Italy	37.24 / 14.512	22.9	47.86	70.76	2002
Catania, Catania , Catania , Sicily , Italy	37.502 / 15.087	38.5	80.13	118.63	2002
Militello Val di Catania, Militello Val di Catania , Catania , Sicily , Italy	37.276 / 14.792	1.43	27.48	28.91	2002
Modica, Modica , Ragusa , Sicily , Italy	36.86 / 14.761	9	34	43	2002
Noto, Noto , Siracusa , Sicily , Italy	36.893 / 15.069	21.38	48.09	69.47	2002
Palazzolo Acreide, Palazzolo Acreide , Siracusa , Sicily , Italy	37.063 / 14.903	1.37	33.74	35.11	2002
Ragusa, Ragusa , Ragusa , Sicily , Italy	36.925 / 14.733	17.39	29.32	46.71	2002
Scicli, Scicli , Ragusa , Sicily , Italy	36.792 / 14.706	0.82	5.18	6	2002
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>112.79</b>	<b>305.8</b>	<b>418.59</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Late Baroque Towns of the Val di Noto (South-Eastern Sicily) - maps of inscribed property	29/06/2002	

#### Comment

A more complete version of the map that do not imply any modifications of boundaries or and other data about the property is available.

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi  
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali  
Funzionario  
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Corrado Bonfanti  
Associazione Distretto Culturale SUD-EST c/o Soprintendenza BB.CC.AA di Siracusa  
Sindaco Associazione e Distretto Culturale Sud Est - Sindaco Comune di Noto

#### Comment

Email: relazionipubbliche@comune.noto.sr.it add biblioteca@comune.noto.sr.it

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Comune di Noto](#)
2. [Soprintendenza beni culturali ed ambientali \(only in Italian\)](#)
3. [Allakattalla: Turismo e Cultura in Val di Noto \(only in Italian\)](#)
4. [Officine Culturali, per le visite guidate al Monastero dei Benedettini di Catania](#)
5. [unesco.beniculturali.it](#)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iv)(v)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations  
related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

At present we have not any recommendations related to  
Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

**3. Factors Affecting the Property**

**3.14. Other factor(s)**

**3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.2	Commercial development							
3.1.3	Industrial areas							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure							
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>							
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities							
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities							
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Physical resource extraction</b>							
3.6.3	Oil and gas							
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>							
3.7.2	Relative humidity							
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>							
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage							
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>							
3.11.1	Volcanic eruption							
3.11.2	Earthquake							
3.11.3	Tsunami/tidal wave							
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
<b>3.3.2</b>	<b>Renewable energy facilities</b>	restricted	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	static
<b>3.3.3</b>	<b>Non-renewable energy facilities</b>	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
<b>3.7.2</b>	<b>Relative humidity</b>	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
<b>3.8.6</b>	<b>Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	decreasing
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>					
<b>3.9.2</b>	<b>Deliberate destruction of heritage</b>	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

no comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are **not known by local residents / communities / landowners**.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are **not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

No comments

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The majority of the properties in all eight of the towns are in private ownership. The religious buildings open to worship are mostly owned by the Diocesan Curias; some are owned by the Italian State through its Ministry for Internal Affairs. Most of the monumental buildings of architectural value are owned by the Local Authorities. All such are public bodies, and the assets for which they are responsible are considered as public property.

The main protection and conservation measures are provided by the national and regional legislation for the protection of the artistic, monumental, landscape, naturalistic, seismic, hydro-geological and forestry heritage, in particular by Acts 1089/39,

1497/39, 64/74, 431/85, and Regional Acts 61/81 and 15/91. Within the bureaucratic infrastructure to implement these provisions, the various Sicilian sections of the Soprintendenza ai Beni Architettonici e Ambientali report directly to the Regional Department for Cultural Heritage. Because of the seismic factor, the Civil Engineer's Office plays an important role in monitoring the safety of buildings and therefore in the maintenance of fabric; it reports direct to the Regional Public Works Department.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

no comments

## 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

### 4.3.1 - Management System

The Regional Provinces of Catania, Ragusa, and Syracuse and the Municipalities of the eight towns have the responsibility for looking after the urban and architectural heritage in their respective territories. In the case of religious buildings and their artistic contents, responsibility rests with the four Diocesan Curias, any one of which may approach any one or more of five different agencies from state to municipal level in the event of works being required.

The eight towns come under the various regional plans, exemplified by that for Regional Economic and Social Development, within which are "Implementation Projects" such as those for Tourism and Cultural Heritage. Regional

Guidelines on Landscape Planning identify, inter alia, four "strategic intervention axes directly involving the protection and enhancement of the landscape and environment," which include conservation and qualification of the heritage of historic, archaeological, artistic, cultural, and documentary interest. The eight towns are identified in the respective town plans as Homogeneous Territorial Zones or Historic Centres, where the existing urban and architectural heritage can be submitted only to rehabilitation and maintenance works that fully respect the historic and cultural vocation of each town.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

A management plan has been drafted but not yet sent to the World Heritage Centre

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Non-existent

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

no comments

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

At present isn't any significant changes in the legal status and/or contractual/ traditional protective measures and management arrangement for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	5%
Governmental (National / Federal)	10%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	40%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	20%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	5%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	10%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	10%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

**Potential** economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

No comments

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	20%
Part-time	80%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	5%
Seasonal	95%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	98%
Volunteer	2%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Non-existent
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Low

Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

no comments

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

There are some publication on the World Heritage site such as: "Un viaggio nella valle del barocco, Pantalica Siracusa e le città del Val di Noto Patrimonio dell'Umanità" - Catania 2007 - Domenico Sanfilippo Editore; "Le città tardo barocche del Val di Noto" - Sagep Editori 2012.

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

Scientific studies and research projects will be increased.

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Local landowners	Non-existent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
------------------------------

Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed** despite an identified need

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

no comments

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Non-existent

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **underway**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
<b>3.3.2</b>	<b>Renewable energy facilities</b>	Renewable energy facilities can have a negative impact on the landscape	The site is protected by regional and municipal regulations that allows control over the placement of the installations	Monitoring is continuous	Ongoing	Site management no comments
<b>3.3.3</b>	<b>Non-renewable energy facilities</b>	Non-renewable energy facilities can have a negative impact on the landscape	The site is protected by regional and municipal regulations that allows control over the placement of the installations	Monitoring is continuous	Ongoing	Site management no comments
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
<b>3.7.2</b>	<b>Relative humidity</b>	This factor can damage the conservation status of the monuments of the site	Each municipality, through special offices of maintenance, carry out special conservative measures for prevention from humidity	All subjects, owners of buildings in various capacities, take part in the activity monitoring (the Site manager is himself the owner of some public building)	Monitoring is continuous	Site manager, other municipalities, Curia. etc no comments
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
<b>3.8.6</b>	<b>Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</b>	An excessive number of visitors alters the urban load: the traffic and transport system, the water consumption, waste production.	The touristic management plan is under preparation	A monitoring of impact of tourism condition is under preparation	The touristic management plan is being prepared (shot term)	Site management No comments
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>					
<b>3.9.2</b>	<b>Deliberate destruction of heritage</b>	Heritage can be subject to vandalism	CCTV ( closed circuit system) have been installed for security and to protect the cultural heritage of the site. The municipal police shall implement a continuous monitoring.	Monitoring is implemented through cameras and the service of Municipal Police	Monitoring is continuous	Property management No comments

## 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.3 Management System / Management Plan</b>						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
<b>4.3.6</b>	<b>Few of the work plan activities implemented</b>	The institution of special offices for WH in each municipality of the serial site over the next two years is planned. The establishment of the UNESCO Bureau would increase coordination and implementation of actions.	Over the next two years	Site manager	no comments	
<b>4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>						
<b>4.6.5</b>	<b>The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted</b>	Establishment of the Bureau and of the working group in order to gather the necessary documentation to present the site as a whole.	Over the next two years	Site manager	no comments	
<b>4.8 Monitoring</b>						

4.8.1	<b>Some monitoring, but it is not planned</b>	Establishment of the UNESCO Bureau. It will collect the data needed to start the monitoring on the site through coordination and publication of data	Over the next two years	Site manager	No comments
-------	---	--	-------------------------	--------------	-------------

### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

no comments

### 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	No impact
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

#### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

The state of conservation of the property is good, but still the management plan is not applied effectively.

#### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
---

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

#### 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

#### 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

No suggestions

#### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

#### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

**Not all** of the required information was accessible

#### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

#### 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Unsatisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Unsatisfactory

#### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

##### • Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

##### • Map(s)

Reason for update: A more complete version of the map that do not imply any modifications of boundaries or and other data about the property is available.

#### 6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

The compilation of the Periodic Report Questionnaire was helpful to rise awareness of critical aspects of the management plan of the property and to identify strategic solutions.