

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Costiera Amalfitana

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

830

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1997

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Costiera Amalfitana	40.65 / 14.6	11231	0	11231	1997
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>11231</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11231</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Costiera Amalfitana - Map of the inscribed property	20/06/1997	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi  
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali  
Funzionario  
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

#### Comment

Ministero dei Beni e delle Attività Culturali e del Turismo  
Francesca Riccio Funzionario Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1 Via del Collegio Romano, 27 00186 Roma Italy Telephone: +39 06 67232140 Fax: +39 06 67232105 Email: francesca.riccio@beniculturali.it

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Gennaro Miccio  
Superintendence for architectural heritage and landscape for the provinces of Salerno e Avellino - MIBAC  
Soprintendente

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Amalfi Coast On Line \(only in Italian\)](#)
- [Amalfi and Amalfi coast](#)
- [Costiera Amalfitana Tour: Home Page](#)
- [Amalfi Tourist Bureau](#)

#### Comment

www.unescoamalficoast.it (financed by Law 20 february 2006 n. 77) is the official web site of the UNESCO Costiera

Amalfitana organised by the property manager (Superintendence for architectural heritage and landscape for the provinces of Salerno e Avellino - MIBAC) and other public subjects as Comunità Montana dei Monti Lattari and Centro Universitario Europeo per i Beni Culturali in Ravello in the year 2012, with funds of Italian law 20 february 2006 n. 77.

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

The UNESCO's site Costiera Amalfitana is protected by the Italian Law 22 January 2004 n. 42 and by the Regional Law 27 June 1987 n. 35 (Piano Urbanistico Territoriale della Penisola Sorrentino Amalfitana - Landscape Management Plan of Sorrentino - Amalfitana Penisola) and Regional Law 26 July 2002 n. 15 which creates the Regional Park of Monti Lattari

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 108.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)(v)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 108.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>								
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>								
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities								
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities								
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>								
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>								
3.9.1	Illegal activities								
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>								
3.10.2	Flooding								
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>								
3.11.2	Earthquake								
3.11.3	Tsunami/tidal wave								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners**.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

There is a buffer zone de facto but the proposal has not yet been sent to the World Heritage Centre

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Legislative Decree 22 January 2004, n°42 (Cultural Heritage and Landscape Code) and Regional Law 35/1987 (Territorial Landscape Plan); Regional Law 26 July 2002 n°15, which creates the Regional Park of Monti Lattari.

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, November 4, 2005

##### • Question 6.02

Legislative Decree 22 January 2004, n°42 (Cultural Heritage and Landscape Code) and Regional Law 35/1987 (Territorial Landscape Plan); Regional Law 26 July 2002 n°15, which creates the Regional Park of Monti Lattari.

## Comment

National Law 20 February 2006 n° 77 financed projects in the Italian UNESCO's sites

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

## 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

### 4.3.1 - Management System

The site is managed by the State party under protective legislation. A Management plan was completed in May 2005 and implemented in March 2006. The management plan has been prepared by staff of the Superintendence for monuments, landscape, historical, artistically and anthropological heritage for the provinces of Salerno and Avellino-Comunità Montana della Penisola Amalfitana and by the European University Centre for Cultural Heritage with the collaboration of external consultants. All problems since inscription on the World Heritage list (abandoning of terraced gardens because of the few economic benefits of these cultivations and of the very little sizes of properties; presence of fires for the whole year because of that people do not take care of woods; lost of hydric resources because there is no maintenance to canals) continue and need to be solved with solutions, which have already been started by local governance and might be so summarized: 1. Improving of traditional uses linked with woods; 2. A policy which supports the selling of by-products, as stock rearing (marketing, quality marks); 3. Using again traditional techniques of production

which help people to preserve traditional activities and the structure of the wood; 4. Actions of reforestation; 5. Eventual mechanization to move goods along hilly versants; 6. Facilitation and putting back in operation of the access to landed properties; 7. Developing an associative system to solve the problem of little size of land properties; 8. Checking the quantity of water of springs; 9. Regimentation of principal torrential rivers to reduce risks of inundations which cause damages to villages; 10. Re-use of artificial canalizations and support of associative system to use better water; 11. Check of the sea ecosystem and above all of the depth so to find external polluting elements; 12. Re-introduction of traditional techniques, through formation of specialized workers; 13. Check of territory which is made of several linked structural elements; 14. Re-introduction of living building; 15. Policies which support agriculture.


**Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2**

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, November 7, 2005

- **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group:  
A public Management Strategic System has been foreseen in order to coordinate the local government actions.
- **Question 5.05**  
Overall management system of the site
  - Management under protective legislation
  - Other effective management system
 Regional Park

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Piano di Gestione - La Costiera Amalfitana Paesaggio Culturale	N/A	Available	01/11/2005	

**Comment**

The Piano di Gestione (Management Plan) of Costiera Amalfitana named "VERSO LA COSTIERA ANTICA. Recupero e attualizzazione delle regole che hanno generato in paesaggio culturale della Costiera Amalfitana" has been drafted but not yet sent to the World Heritage Centre

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	1%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	1%
Governmental (National / Federal)	45%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	35%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	15%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	1%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	1%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	1%
Other grants	

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	38%
Part-time	62%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	38%
Seasonal	62%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	38%
Volunteer	62%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Good
Visitor management	Fair

Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	High
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**



4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Poor

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

**4.7. Visitor Management**

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

**4.8. Monitoring**

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **underway**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

**5. Summary and Conclusions**

**5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

**5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

No factor is both current and negative.

**5.2. Summary - Management Needs**

**5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs**

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone	To protect the current core zone the site need an external buffer zone	In five years	Superintendence for architectural heritage and landscape for the provinces of Salerno and Avellino, Comunità Montana of Monti Lattari, Regional Park of Monti Lattari	Outside the site there is a very interesting area good for protect the current site
4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners	To promote meetings in the site	We hope to complete this part in two years	Superintendence for architectural heritage and landscape for the provinces of Salerno and Avellino, Comunità Montana of Monti Lattari, Regional Park of Monti Lattari	During the period 2005-2013 the property manager involved the local resident to promote the boundaries of the site
4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
4.4.12	Human resources inadequate for management needs	Is very important to give adequate human resources for management of site	We hope to improve the human resources in five years	Superintendence for architectural heritage and landscape for the provinces of Salerno and Avellino, Comunità Montana of Monti Lattari, Regional Park of Monti Lattari	In according to the dimensions of site is very important to improve the human resources for the management of the site



**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Very positive

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

According with 6.1.15 is very important to improve the agricultural sector for maintenance of terraces

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Non Governmental Organization
Indigenous peoples

Local community
External experts

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

**Most** of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

**• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 108.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**