

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Centre of Naples

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

726bis

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1995

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Historical Centre of Naples	40.849 / 14.247	981.75	?	981.75	2011
District of Villa Manzo, Santa Maria della Consolazione	40.818 / 14.206	15.5	?	15.5	2011
Marechiaro	40.796 / 14.192	4	?	4	2011
District of Casale and Santo Strato	40.804 / 14.192	19.75	?	19.75	2011
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>1021</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1021</b>	

#### Comment

In 2011, together with minor boundary modification of the property, a buffer zone has been established. Its area is 1350 hectares. On the WHC website the coordinates are as follow: 726-001 Historical Centre of Naples N40 50 55.64 E14 14 48.48 726-002 District of Villa Manzo, Santa Maria della Consolazione N40 49 4.86 E14 12 20.07 726-003 Marechiaro N40 47 44.50 E14 11 31.39 726-004 District of Casale and Santo Strato N40 48 13.74 E14 11 31.47

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Centre of Naples - inscribed minor boundary modification	29/06/2011	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi  
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali  
Funzionario  
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

#### Comment

Ministero dei Beni e delle Attività Culturali e del Turismo  
Francesca Riccio Funzionario Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1 Via del Collegio Romano, 27 00186 Roma Italy Telephone: +39 06 67232140 Fax: +39 06 67232105 Email: francesca.riccio@beniculturali.it

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Giancarlo Ferulano  
Municipality of Naples  
Architetto  
Direzione Centrale Infrastrutture  
Servizio Valorizzazione della città storica

#### Comment

Municipality of Naples Giancarlo Ferulano Architetto - Direttore Direzione Centrale Pianificazione e gestione del territorio - sito UNESCO Dirigente servizio Programma UNESCO e Valorizzazione della città storica largo Torretta 19 80122 Naples Italy Telephone: +39 081 7958200 - +39 081 7958201 - +39 081 7958943 Fax: +39 081 7958234 Email: giancarloferulano@virgilio.it giancarlo.ferulano@comune.napoli.it citta.storica@comune.napoli.it

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Neopolitan Tourist Network Project](#)

#### Comment

official website [www.comune.napoli.it](http://www.comune.napoli.it)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World heritage Committee in 2007. It was subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies and approved by the 38th World Heritage Committee in 2014.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World heritage Committee in 2007. It was subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies and approved by the 38th World Heritage Committee in 2014.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3. Factors Affecting the Property

#### 3.14. Other factor(s)

##### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
3.2.5	Underground transport infrastructure							
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>							
3.3.4	Localised utilities							
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>							
3.4.4	Air pollution							
3.4.5	Solid waste							
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Physical resource extraction</b>							
3.6.2	Quarrying							
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>							
3.9.1	Illegal activities							
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage							
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>							
3.11.1	Volcanic eruption							
3.11.2	Earthquake							
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide							
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>							
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species							
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	widespread	frequent	minor	low capacity	static
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	localised	frequent	significant	no capacity and / or resources	increasing
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
3.4.4	Air pollution	extensive	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
3.4.5	Solid waste	widespread	frequent	significant	low capacity	decreasing
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Physical resource extraction</b>					
3.6.2	Quarrying	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>					

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.9.1	Illegal activities	widespread	on-going	significant	low capacity	static
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	widespread	frequent	minor	medium capacity	increasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners**.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The General Plan of 1972 (approved by Decree No. 1829 of 31 March 1972) identifies the area of the town, protected by law, in which all interventions must be approved by the competent Superintendence. The provisions of Act No. 47 of February 28, 1985, "Norme in materia di controllo dell'attività urbanistica - edilizia, sanzioni, recupero e sanatoria delle opere edilizie" apply to the area of the historic center, and establish limitations on the height, spacing between buildings, etc. A large number of buildings of the town are protected under law no 1089 of June 1, 1939, "Tutela delle cose d'interesse artistico o storico", which represents the cornerstone of Italian legislation on the protection of heritage. As well as other normative instruments, national and regional, and other regulations for planning and control of heritage are applicable to the Historic Centre of Naples.

The national institutions in charge of protection are the Ministry for Cultural Heritage and Activities, the Region of Campania, the province of Naples and the city of Naples.

-The main instruments of local government acting on the Historic Centre of Naples are the following:

- Orientamenti Strategici Comunitari
- Documento Strategico Regionale
- Linee Guida Programmi Integrati PIU Europa
- Legge Regionale 16/2004 (Norme generali di governo e uso del territorio)
- Piano Territoriale Regionale (2006)
- Piano Strategico (2009)
- Piano di Coordinamento della Provincia di Napoli (2008)
- Variante al Piano regolatore Generale (2004)
- Piano Comunale dei Trasporti (1997)
- Piano della Rete Stradale Primaria (2000)
- Piano Comunale del Traffico Urbano (1997-2000)
- Regolamento Viario (2001)
- Strumento di intervento per l'apparato distributivo (2002)
- Piani specifici per la tutela del sito
- Piano delle 100 Stazioni
- Piani di Zona e di Sviluppo Socio Economico
- Piano di sviluppo dell'artigianato, del commercio e dei servizi

### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, October 28, 2005

#### • Question 6.02

The protection of an huge historical center like that one of Naples, derives above all from the translation of protection in norms and rules and from the exercise of the control of their observance. Substantially the entire normative apparatus of protection can be subdivided in two macrocategories:

- a) instruments of protection for the whole historical center;
- b) instruments of protection of single monuments.

a) The main specific norms for the protection of the entire historical center are:

1. Piano regolatore Generale (town planning) approved in 2004

P.R.G. 2004 defines the behaviors to assume in relation to the various modalities of project with particular regard to the areas identified like historical center. Each building it has been classified using an interpretation of its own "tipologici" characters and on this base have been defined the rules of the project with the exception of the "building units of recent formation", after 1943, for the buildings and the free spaces comprised inside the perimeter of the historical center is obliged the restoration. This option try to preserve the physical integrity and the cultural identity of the historical center and to appreciate the system of the green areas, either public or private, existing inside. The participations of restoration moreover must comprise: the elimination of the added and not coherent parts with the original architectonic organism; the possibility to divide or to unificate parts of the same building only along the bearing masonries; the measures of strengthening and antiseismic adaptation; the insertion of the technological equipment to render the buildings habitable; the eventual insertion of scales and, in nearly all cases, the insertion of intermediate levels intermediate only for one fraction of the surface available. New PRG 2004 widens the historical center regarding that one defined in 1972 comprising an immense surrounding city portion, to protect more and more physical integrity and the cultural identity of the territory, excluding further expansions, safeguarding the last precious green areas and the historical texture not only for down-town, but also for the rural villages of the 16<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup> century that form one crown around the

city centre from east to the west – and regulate the transformation of the abandoned areas, with the aim to increase the city quality, necessary condition for the economic development. The norm of protection of the city texture and the historical patrimony has been extended to all building constructed before the second post-war period. Such widening has defined an assimilable area to the concept of buffer zone previewed for W.H. site.

2. Piano Comunale dei Trasporti (urban plan of the transports) approved of in 1997  
In 1997 the City Council of Naples approves the communal PCT that regards the development until 2011 of the public transport and constitutes a fundamental passage for the protection of the historical centre because will concur, at completed net of the underground railway, to serve nearly for entire the territory comprised in the perimeter of the historical center with 17 stations (4 existing and 4 in advanced phase of execution). Beyond to the basic goal of reducing the automotive flows on rubber, either of the average collectives publics either of those private ones, the effects will be multiple and all directing to improve the liveability and therefore the protection of the monumental parts with the reduction of exhaust gas, of the noise, etc. 3. Piano Generale del Traffico Urbano (general plan of city traffic) (P.G.T.U.) 1997 - 1999 written up in 1996 and approved by the City Council in 1997 and updating in 2000 – 2004. PGTU (D. Lgs. 285/92), subdivide the territory of Naples in traffic basins; for the historical center (basin A) the strategies used are:

the Zones for Limited Parking (ZSL: rationalization of the pause through the identification of time band in which it is not possible to park unless, in appropriate areas the cars of the residents);  
the Zone for Limited Traffic (ZTL: protection from the traffic of zones defined from environmental, cultural, historical-monumental and trades characters fixing limited access for time bands, 10 - 12 hours, to residents and authorized);  
the pedestrian areas (inside the Historical Centre have been realized 22 pedestrians areas and are planned 11more). Among the ZTL was made also an important experiment of management of an area, that one of the Greek-roman part, controlling automatically with the system "telepass" the accesses of residents. This test had the duration of a year and has concurred to point up technological and social problems.

4. Regolamento Viario (street rules) approved by The City Council in 2001.  
This act identified, between the roads pertaining to the net of the main practicability and to the nets of the local practicability, those pertaining to the historical center. The act fixi the particular rules of redevelopment: the conservation of stone paving if existing; its restoration for the practicability or its substitution with specific materials in order to pull down the noise of the traffic; the maximum protection of the pedestrian assuming the reduction of the speed to 30 km/h and the creation of higher crossroad, protected from citylights and equipments acoustic and tactile, obtained connecting the two sidewalk opposite with backs crafts; the elimination posing in the subsoil or positioning in the buildings the containers of systems electrical workers, telephone, of signalling, transmission given and cables of whichever nature and the nets suspended telephone electrical workers; the reduction as possible of the traffic signals on the floor using the walls of the buildings if not bound. The protection of the built up and the free spaces contained in the rules of the PRG is increased by the protection of the historic paths and, inside of this, the main attention for the pedestrian.

5. Piano della Rete Stradale Primaria (main street plan) approved by the City Council in the 2000  
PRSP enters also in the field of protection of the historical center proposing a total sustainability of the transport system postulating the territorial re-balance of traffic and enviromental flows. For the protection of the historical centre the plan propose the gradual specialization of the street uses and identify the hierarchy of the roads and their belonging to specific nets each destined to carry out specific functions. In fact it has concurred to declass quite all the main through roads of the historical centre in to local roads, introducing the idea of local nets characterized from slowed down traffic leaving the role of primary roads for those wich run along the perimeter. Also this is a plan closely linked with the elaboration and the forecasts of PRG 2004 and the PCT which, in the forecasts projected to 2011 in relation to the historical centre, analyzes and above all proposes such solutions for its contour to eliminate the presence of street segments congested and to reduce, in meaningful way, those to the limit of the congestion. It is a program of actions all inner ones to the Administration whose final effects could be agents of a general improvement of the environmental conditions, in this case due to the traffic, to preserve the historical centre.

6. Strumento di Intervento per l'apparato distributivo (commercial plan) approved in the 2002  
This instrument faces one critical aspect of protection. Inside of the historical center identifies the so-called red zone, in part coinciding with the perimeter of the historical center, to the inside of which defines limitations to the insertion of trade structures of medium dimensions. Such provision is originated from national norms (Law 59/1997) and from regional norms in national law enforcement (L. R. Campania 1/2000). In this case the protection is exercised regarding the structure trades existing constituted, in the majority, from "environs exercises" whose surface trades does not exceed the 250 mq. Under this limit they do not serve particular procedures in order to open a store that is not of alimentary kinds. It achieves that in the last years the number of the exercises trades is increased in the field of the sale of handicraft products and in the sale, in particular, of clothings. In the historical center, as it has been made as an example in the zone of the decumen, there is an obliged model of rehabilitation of the stores, always of small dimension, piloted and controlled by the Soprintendenza, appropriate to the characteristics of the buildings of which uses the ground floor, with a return to the employment of the traditional materials, as an example the wood, and the demolition of the parts extended on the road. The atmosphere that is gone creating is pleasant and the same traditional activities extend the range of their products also to those for the tourist market. More difficult, in the zones commercially more evolved, from via Toledo to via dei Mille, becomes the protection of the permanence of historical but economically marginal activities, like bookcases, handicraft productions of ice-cream, fabric of chocolate, that use premises in rent. Usually these activities, at the end of the rent contract, are replaced from more profitable commercial fields, an example for all the clothings, because there are not available instruments of protection considering that also the social actions are losing in many Italian cities. Activities of greater dimension, structures of sale inferior to the 1,500 mq, are possible, in defined conditions, only when substitute or wide an existing activitie. An example is a great bookcase that, in substitution of a warehouse of furnishing, has been realized in the area of piazza dei Martiri becoming in short time one of the more important points of meeting also for the young people attracted from the immense disc assortment.

7. Programma di delocalizzazione degli impianti di distribuzione dei carburanti (relocation of the systems of distribution of fuels – petrol pump).

This program, that comes from Decree legislative 285/1992, has been elaborated from the Administration and has become effective in 1996 after the approval of the City Council; draft of the local application of norms established to national level. The program takes part on the protection of the emergency of the historical centre operating: to eliminate from the historic texture the factors of great dangerousness due to the refuelling of the tanks and to the distribution of fuels; to eliminate factors of disturb of the traffic flows inside of the historical center due to the presence of the distributors; to give back to the pedestrian use public space to increase the availabilities of the residences to the contour. In fact these aims are generally obtained considering that in the historical centre approximately 90 systems, nearly the totality, has been already relocated in external areas to the historical centre on roads of great traffic with satisfaction also of the entrepreneurs of the field. The residual are located in areas without interferences with local traffic and the equipments systems are relatively far from the buildings in the respect of the existing rules.

b) The protection of single monuments (united to the fight to the unauthorized buildings) is done from the State and specifically from a decentralized organ of the Ministry for the Assets and the Cultural Activities, the Soprintendenza for the Architectonic Assets and the Historical Patrimony Artistic and Demotnoantropologico of Naples and Province. For the protection of architectonic assets legally bound and for projects of restoration of assets of historical-artistic interest, considering that the entire territory of municipality of Naples is classified between the seismic zones, are used the following instruments:

- 1) Circular n.1841 of the 12/3/1991 of M. BB.CC.AA.: "Directives for the writing and the execution of plans of restoration includingicipations of aseismic improvement and maintenance of the architectonic complexes of historical value - artistic in seismic zone";
- 2) Min.LL.PP Circular. 10/4/1997 n.65/AA.GG.: "Instructions for the application of the technical Norms for the constructions in the sismiche zones of which to D.M. 16/1/96, C.9.1.2. point Participations of improvement for the buildings of monumental character";
- 3) technical Norms for the writing of the relative plans of restoration you to the architectonic assets of historical value - artistic in sismica zone (approved of in you open them 1997 from the National Committee for the prevention of the sismico risk).

Through the authorizations emitted to the senses of articles 21 and 22 of the D. lgs. 42/2004, Code of the Cultural Assets and the Landscape, it is possible to value the coherence of the restoration projects with the scientific and methodological criteria of the Soprintendenza. Actually just in the historical centre of Naples were bound 798 private and public buildings and open local authorities buildings.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

A Management Plan is in action since 2011.

The management of the city in its complexity involves all the institutions in charge of the protection with some subjects more specifically engaged. Such action is part of a planning picture which every subject has every year for the multi-year management of the territory. The urban road network and many buildings are managed directly from the Municipality, while most of all the totality of the religious buildings is managed from the Curia.

The principal institutions, besides the Town Hall of Naples, are the State, the Region, the province and the local authorities and the Archdiocese of Naples, which intervene on their properties:

-the State, through the Regional Directorate for Cultural and Landscape Heritage of Campania that coordinates the competent Soprintendenza; It operates not only on its properties but also on other properties subject to the provisions of protection, such as the Universities, the military and maritime State properties etc. Specifically:

The Superintendenza for architectural and landscape Heritage for Naples and its province enhances the architectural and landscape heritage, and is responsible for the protection of buildings subject to constraint, for which it exerts control over all the restoration;

The Soprintendenza Speciale per i Beni Archeologici di Napoli e Pompei enhances the archaeological heritage, and is responsible for the protection of properties subject to constraint, for which it exercises control over all the restoration;

The special Superintendency for Artistic, historical, and ethno-anthropological Heritage and for the Polo Museale della Città di Napoli enhances historical, artistic and ethno-anthropological Heritage and is responsible for the protection of properties subject to constraint, for which it exercises control over all the restoration;



## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

The Region of Campania intervenes in the construction of its properties, or in numerous monumental buildings' restorations; The province of Naples only operates on its own property; The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Naples is one of the stakeholders with the highest number of properties located inside the perimeter of the Historic Centre of Naples. Buildings owned by ecclesiastical entities are intended not only to worship, but also to host Museum activities, cultural events and Catholic-inspired social activities; The Università degli Studi di Napoli l'Orientale e l'Università degli Studi Federico II are among the subjects who carry out their activities in the Historic Centre of Naples, inside of buildings of their own property or in property granted in use.

### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, October 28, 2005

#### • Question 5.04 Plans in place to set up a "steering group":

The initiatives will be able to come out from the work group created for the writing of the report during preparation of the Plan of Management

#### • Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Other effective management system

The management of the city in its complexity involves all the institutions in charge of the protection with some subjects more specifically engaged. Such action is part of a planning picture which every subject has every year for the multi-year management of the territory. The urban road network and many buildings are managed directly from the Municipality, while most of all the totality of the religious buildings is managed from the Curia. In particular the institutions involved in the management and the protection (sometimes with specific competences in one or in the other field) are, besides the Municipality of Naples, the Province and the Campania Region:

- the Soprintendenza for the architectonic heritage and the landscape and for the artistic historical and anthropological heritage of Naples and province
- the Regional Soprintendenza for Cultural Heritage and Activities;
- the Soprintendenza for the Archaeological Heritage
- the Soprintendenza for Campania Archives
- the Special Soprintendenza for Neapolitan Museum's Pole

#### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

##### Comment

A Management Plan has been drafted and sent to the World Heritage Centre (see Dec 35 COM 7B.97)

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

## Section II-Historic Centre of Naples

#### 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

#### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

#### 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Non-existent

#### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

#### 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

#### 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

#### 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

#### 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World



Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	70%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	10%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	16%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	4%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

no international assistance from the World Heritage Fund.

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

**Potential** economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

In 4.4.1 the percentage indicated for "multilateral funding" regards funding provided by European Union. The data indicated in 4.4. is not representative about private finance (work of conservation made by private owners, etc) that are an important source of founding whose data are not easy to find.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	30%
Part-time	70%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	90%
Seasonal	10%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	80%
Volunteer	20%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	High
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-**

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

## 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In one location, but **not easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Non-existent

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has **not influenced** education, information or awareness building activities

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

## Section II-Historic Centre of Naples

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Not provided but needed
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

## 4.7. Visitor Management

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed** despite an identified need

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **little or no contact** between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is planned, but has **not yet begun**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.2 Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	iv	a) riqualification of urban areas b) special measure for the protection of storic paving of streets and squares c) setting up of restricted traffic areas	a) b)scheduling and certification of the costs c) measures of traffic reduction	2015/2016	Municipality of Naples -
<b>3.3 Services Infrastructures</b>						
3.3.4	Localised utilities	iv	building regulations with specific rules for the historic center incentives for centralization of facilities receiving	control of requests for building permission	2016/2020	Municipality of Naples -
<b>3.4 Pollution</b>						
3.4.5	Solid waste	iv	separate waste collection	environmental Police	2015	Municipality of Naples -
<b>3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	iv	a) social integration plan b) opening of community centers c) integrated school groups	census and survey	2016	Municipality of Naples, Welfare and Education Ministry -
<b>3.9 Other human activities</b>						
3.9.1	Illegal activities	iv	support for families of school children training promotion of local crafts integration between the various actions of the security forces	school dropout control statistics on crime reduction	2016	Municipality of Naples, Welfare, Education and Internal Ministry -
<b>3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>						
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	iv	pest control campaigns control of deposit and withdrawal of waste maintenance of the sewerage network	enviromental police office sewerage	2015	Municipality of Naples, agency in the environmental sector -

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners	signals with WHL emblem dissemination and education of the WHL local action plan	2015/2016	Municipality of Naples, State party and Government local Department, City Council	-	
<b>4.3 Management System / Management Plan</b>						
4.3.6	Few of the work plan activities implemented	updating of the management plan and implementation of actions	2015/2020	Municipality of Naples, State party and Government local Department, Campania Region, academic institutions, main stakeholders	-	
<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>						

4.4.3	<b>The budget is inadequate for management needs</b>	Activation of European funding programs activation of patronage and sponsorship programs incentive programs to private partnership programs	2015/2020	Municipality of Naples, Campania Region, State party and Government local Department, European Community, main stakeholders	-
4.4.15	<b>Capacity development plan not implemented</b>	updating of development plan dissemination of results about action already implemented	2015/2020	Municipality of Naples, State party and Government local Department, Campania Region, City Council	-
<b>4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>					
4.6.5	<b>The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted</b>	dissemination and education of the Outstanding Universal Value	2015	Municipality of Naples, State party and Government local Department	-
<b>4.8 Monitoring</b>					
4.8.4	<b>Implementation of Committee recommendations is planned, but has not yet begun</b>	updating of the management plan and start the monitoring actions	2015/2020	Municipality of Naples, State party and Government local Department, Campania Region, City Council	-

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	No impact
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World heritage Committee in 2007. It was subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies and approved by the 38th World Heritage Committee in 2014.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: In 2011, together with minor boundary modification of the property, a buffer zone has been established. Its area is 1350 hectares. On the WHC website the coordinates are as follow: 726-001 Historical Centre of Naples N40 50 55.64 E14 14 48.48 726-002 District of Villa Manzo, Santa Maria della Consolazione N40 49 4.86 E14 12 20.07 726-003 Marechiaro N40 47 44.50 E14 11 31.39 726-004 District of Casale and Santo Strato N40 48 13.74 E14 11 31.47

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**