

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Centre of Siena

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

717

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1995

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of Siena	43.319 / 11.332	170	9907	10077	1995
Total (ha)		170	9907	10077	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Centre of Siena, scale 1:2500	25/01/2008	
Historic Center of Siena (ortophoto map), scale 1:15000	25/01/2008	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali
Funzionario
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Bruno Valentini
Municipality of Siena
Sindaco

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Comune di Siena \(only in Italian\)](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in

2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.3	Services Infrastructures							
3.3.4	Localised utilities							
3.4	Pollution							
3.4.5	Solid waste							
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
3.7.8	Micro-organisms							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system							
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events							
3.11.2	Earthquake							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	restricted	frequent	minor	low capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

question 3.8.4: Population has moved to the suburbs as a consequence of commercial and residential development, but the presence of "contrade" creates many opportunities for social gathering within the site.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Siena has adopted "modern" urban planning tools since 1931, starting with the town plan promoted by the Podestà Bargagli Petrucci. Today the development and protection of the historic center of Siena is entrusted to various town planning tools on different scales. The Structural Plan for the municipal territory and relative town planning regulations are in preparation in order to adapt existing norms and conservation restrictions, outdated by now, to current needs. The entire historic center is subjected to national conservation restrictions under law no. 1497/39 by ministerial decree of 25/03/1965 and is largely

subject also to the restrictions on monuments formerly governed by Law 1089/39 (now Legislative Decree no. 42/04). Also in force in the historic center are a series of more specific regulations and preservation plans to answer to particular aims:

- General Urban Plan: regulates building activity in the municipal territory, identifies new buildable sites, areas where no building is allowed, and the existing buildings to be protected, preserved, and maintained.
- Plan for the distribution and localization of functions: regulates changes in use within the historic center, maintaining the balance between areas destined to commercial, residential, and artisan activities
- Color plan: establishes the colors that can be used on facades and their architectural style, traceable to a precise architectural period, and governs the restoration of the forms, decorations, and colors
- Plan for the installation of prefabricated kiosks: regulates the installation of prefabricated structures to be used for commercial activities, such as newspaper vendors, flower vendors, and small coffee bars in the public spaces of the city.
- Plans for the rationalization of commerce both in shops and sidewalk vendors: these regulate commercial activities on public and private property
- Plan for the management of structures for publicity and advertising: identifies surfaces where advertising is possible either by means of posters or billboards, and for posting official notices
- Regulation of limited-traffic zone (ZTL): identifies the streets in the historic center where motor traffic is to be limited or prohibited
- Regulation of solid waste management: governs the collection and disposal of solid waste and recycling, identifies holding areas, and, especially for the historic center, regulates the aesthetic aspect of waste containers
- Regulations for the installation of antennas: regulates the installation of antennas on roofs in the city; the fiber-optic cables laid under the streets have virtually eliminated television antennas from roofs in the historic center
- Acoustic classification plan: protects certain areas of the municipal territory from the risk of noise pollution resulting from particularly noisy activities
- Electromagnetic monitoring: constantly evaluates the amount of electromagnetic emissions near transmitters of mobile telephone signals

Furthermore, there is a special law that allows the City to grant financial contributions or tax breaks for the rehabilitation and restoration of buildings and the facades and roofs of buildings located in the historic center.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, November 4, 2005

• Question 6.02

Siena has adopted "modern" urban planning tools since 1931, starting with the town plan promoted by the Podestà Bargagli Petrucci. Today the development and protection of the historic center of Siena is entrusted to various town planning tools on different scales. The Structural Plan for the municipal territory and relative town planning regulations are in preparation in order to adapt existing norms and conservation restrictions, outdated by now, to current needs. The entire historic center is subjected to national conservation restrictions under law no. 1497/39 by ministerial decree of 25/03/1965 and is largely subject also to the restrictions on monuments formerly governed by Law 1089/39 (now Legislative Decree no. 42/04). Also in force in the historic center are a series of more specific regulations and preservation plans to answer to

particular aims:

- General Urban Plan: regulates building activity in the municipal territory, identifies new buildable sites, areas where no building is allowed, and the existing buildings to be protected, preserved, and maintained.
- Plan for the distribution and localization of functions: regulates changes in use within the historic center, maintaining the balance between areas destined to commercial, residential, and artisan activities
- Color plan: establishes the colors that can be used on facades and their architectural style, traceable to a precise architectural period, and governs the restoration of the forms, decorations, and colors
- Plan for the installation of prefabricated kiosks: regulates the installation of prefabricated structures to be used for commercial activities, such as newspaper vendors, flower vendors, and small coffee bars in the public spaces of the city.
- Plans for the rationalization of commerce both in shops and sidewalk vendors: these regulate commercial activities on public and private property
- Plan for the management of structures for publicity and advertising: identifies surfaces where advertising is possible either by means of posters or billboards, and for posting official notices
- Regulation of limited-traffic zone (ZTL): identifies the streets in the historic center where motor traffic is to be limited or prohibited
- Regulation of solid waste management: governs the collection and disposal of solid waste and recycling, identifies holding areas, and, especially for the historic center, regulates the aesthetic aspect of waste containers
- Regulations for the installation of antennas: regulates the installation of antennas on roofs in the city; the fiber-optic cables laid under the streets have virtually eliminated television antennas from roofs in the historic center
- Acoustic classification plan: protects certain areas of the municipal territory from the risk of noise pollution resulting from particularly noisy activities
- Electromagnetic monitoring: constantly evaluates the amount of electromagnetic emissions near transmitters of mobile telephone signals
 - Special law for Siena: this is a special law that allows the City to grant financial contributions or tax breaks for the rehabilitation and restoration of buildings and the facades and roofs of buildings located in the historic center

Comment

The urban plan was approved in 2011. These rules have remained faithful to the security level of the site, as in previous regulatory instruments (General Plan and the Plan for the distribution and localization of functions). The "Special Law for Siena", which financed the renovation of roofs and facades of the old town, is no longer effective.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining

the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The site is managed by the State Party, under protective legislation, in accordance with laws concerning conservation. The levels of public authority who are primarily involved with the management of the site are national, regional, local. The Contradas are 17 associations of free citizens with their own hierarchical structure, which have divided the historic centre into districts since the Middle Ages; they organize the social life of the Sienese people and the PALIO, the city's most ancient traditional festivity. The City of Siena is responsible for over-seeing the implementation of the management plan and monitoring its effectiveness.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, November 4, 2005

• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group":
At present, there is no plan to set up a steering group

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

○ Management under protective legislation

○ Other effective management system

Management in accordance with laws concerning conservation;

Comment

In 2007, a Protocol of Understanding for the establishment of a Steering Committee for the management of the site, was signed

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

A management Plan has been drafted but not yet sent to the World Heritage Centre

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Poor
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World

Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

The management plan was approved in April 2011 and is currently being updated

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	5%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	26%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	26%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	43%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

question 4.4.1: "other grants" includes costs incurred by both individuals and "contrade"

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	50%
Part-time	50%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	90%
Seasonal	10%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Not applicable
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-

making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

<http://www.comune.siena.it/La-Citta/Territorio/Direzione-Pianificazione-Territoriale-Sportello-Unico-Integrato-e-Attivita-Economiche/Servizio-Centro-Storico-e-Sito-Unesco>

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined **but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

To mitigate the phenomenon of tourism concentrated in few months of the year, events and initiatives were organized, also for the nomination of Siena European Capital of Culture 2019. For the Depopulation of the historic center, in 2011 has been approved the planning rules that promotes the recovery of the residential heritage in the historic center.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	all	The plan of the antennas is under review and will provide camouflage criteria for new and existing antennas.	project endorsement	three years	City of Siena Siena is wired and there are no television antennas or satellite dishes.
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	all	Ongoing project study to restore the city wall which are covered by infesting grass	operations performed	five years	city of Siena The most part of the City Center buildings have been restored thanks to the Siena Special Law, today no more financed
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	all	A plan for the decentralization of tourist flows useful to decongest the areas most afflicted will be made. A constant monitoring of tourist flows in the site will be maintained.	No formal monitoring programme	all the time	city of Siena the impact of tourist flows on heritage conservation can not be monitored, the number of tourists on the site will be constantly monitored .

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	meetings will be organized to provide citizens information about buffer zones and protective actions related to them. The municipal website will also be updated to provide such information	two years	City of Siena, district of Siena.	even if the buffer zone are not known by citizens they are protected by local as well as national legislation preserving their characteristics of authenticity.	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	Among the events promoted by the appointment of Siena Capital of Culture 2019, there will be a program of events for the dissemination of the values promoted by UNESCO and protection actions for the enhancement of the site.	five years	City of Siena, Foundation of Siena Museums.	The values promoted by UNESCO will be repeatedly exposed during the meetings scheduled. The municipality of Siena, have approved the rules for cooperation between citizens and the administration for the maintenance of urban properties	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise